Lecture: Growth of Firms

Economic perspectives on the firm

Neoclassical

Equilibrium –Marshall

More general/hybrid: Coase and Williamson/contracts/transaction costs

NC perspectives tell us little about firm growth, more about market -comp statics Schumpeterian

Long waves/ innovation and change

Big business

Creative destruction

Penrosian

Internal growth dynamics

No equilibrium but optimal growth

Groth limited

See Best (1990) for discussion

Institutional/Managerial theories

Less abstract

Specificities emphasised

No equilibrium firm size

Size changes gradually

Changes in labour and capital takes time

Firm size not limited

U shaped cost curves not common

Even if U in SR not LR. L shaped more likely

Multiproduct firms can keep expanding

Increasing returns to scale

Growth and Profitability

Might expect growing firms to be more profitable: profit share (profits/size) increase with size

Not necessarily

Problem measurement

Reasons:

Firm level economies of scale

Market power

Empirically either:

Efficient dynamic firms leads to profits leads to investment leads to growth (time series causality)

Firms operate where profit and growth are negatively related, see positive relation across firms (cross section)

Evidence:

Against firm level economies of scale Profitability doesn't increase with size Variability of growth declines with size

Growth, Integration and Diversification

Horizontal Integration

Range of products already deal with

New to firm but basically same

Variation on range/geography

Acquisitions

Vertical Integration

Extend activities to supplier/customer

Ratio net output/gross output increase with vertical integration

Diversification

Extend range- development acquisition

Difficult to define markets and industries

Horizontal reasons

Expansion of monopoly power

Benefits from knowledge, expertise, R&D, learning by doing

Other economies of scale

Vertical reasons

Technological: production process, economies of scale

Transaction costs reduced: Coase and Williamson

Diversification

Profitable areas

Economies of scope

Limitations to Expansion

Economies of scale in producing input

Loss of capital

Comparative advantage f specialised firms

Measuring Firm Size and Growth

Different measures for different purposes

Financial value, stock market

Employment

Productive capacity

Value of production

Value added

Shoul be highly correlated

Focus on sales, employment normally because of availability and comparability and relative ease of interpretation

Reasons why interested in size and growth

Survivor technique: cost conditions (mes) can be ascertained from analysing nature of firms that prosper=grow

Implications of growth for concentration trends: larger grow faster than smaller will lead to increase in concentration (in fact if grow the same will increase conc)

Gibrat's Law of Proportionate Effects

Hypothesise that growth is random process

Numerous factors influence growth and effect the proportionate change in size not absolute

Ability of entrepreneur

Changes in product demand

Luck and random shocks

All difficult to measure

Can write as:

$$S_{it+1} = S_{it} (1 + u_t)$$
 where u_t is random

$$log S_{it+1} = log S_{it} + log(1 + u_t)$$

with continuous substitution

$$log S_{it+1} = log S_0 + \Sigma log(1 + u_t)$$

Over time the effect of initial size declines and that of the random effect increase Size distribution tends to lognormal distribution

Testing the Law

Means that probability distribution of growth rates I the same for all firms

Proportionate growth rate:

$$S_{it}/S_{it-1}=e_{it}$$

Which can write as:

$$log S_{it} = a + b log S_{it-1} + e_t$$

Implies that firms of different size

Have same proportionate growth rate

Have same variance (homoscedastic)

Are not serially correlated

Can test:

b=1: growth random: $log S_{it} - log S_{t-1} = a + b log S_{it-1} + e_t$

b < 1: smaller firms grow faster

b>1: larger firms grow faster

Can rewrite

$$\Delta log S_{it} = a + (b-1) log S_{it-1} + e_t$$

And then test whether coeff on S_{it-1} is significantly different to zero.

UK Experience

Post war inexorable rise in concentration:

Manufacturing share of 100 largest 1935 was 33% in 1970 was 41%

Bolton report (1971) concern about small firms

Hannah and Kay: concern over merger wave and concentration

When recognised it was already changing

Increase in share of small firms

Decline in top 100 net output share

Steady increase in business formation

Change masked some problems

Small firm increase results of problems of large companies

Lack of dynamism: barriers to growth; missing middle of size distribution

Dunne and Hughes test the law for the UK and find

Gibrats law des not hold

Small firms tended to growth faster than larger

It was the smaller firms of small firm category that grew fastest

Conclusions

Different theoretical perspectives on firms

Don't always tell us what makes firms grow

Static vs dynamic

Firms vs industry

Theory helps identify likely factors, but may be measuresmnet problems in empirical works so institutional analysis is important

Important to distinguish: Organic growth; Integration (vertical and horizontal);

Diversification

Statistical/industrial analysis suggests role for systematic effects

Role for analysisat different levels of abstraction.